Self-doped Mott insulator for parent compounds of nickelate superconductors
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We propose the parent compound of the newly discovered superconducting nickelate Nd1−xSrNiO2 as a self-doped Mott insulator, in which the low-density Nd 5d conduction electrons couple to localized Ni 3d2−x2+ electrons to form Kondo spin singlets at low temperatures. This proposal is motivated with our analyses of the reported resistivity and Hall coefficient data in the normal state, showing logarithmic temperature dependence at low temperatures. In the strong Kondo coupling limit, we derive a generalized t-J model with both Kondo singlets and nickel holons moving through the square lattice of an otherwise nickel spin-1/2 background. The antiferromagnetic long-range order is therefore suppressed as observed in experiments. With Sr doping, the number of holons on the nickel sites increases, giving rise to the superconductivity and a strange metal phase analogous to those in superconducting copper oxides.
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Introduction. The recent discovery of superconductivity in Nd0.8Sr0.2NiO2 [1] has stimulated intensive interest in understanding its pairing mechanism; in particular, its similarity and difference compared to that in cuprate superconductors [2–8]. Despite tremendous efforts over the past 30 years, high-Tc superconductivity (SC) remains one of the most challenging topics in condensed matter physics [9–12]. The parent compounds of copper oxides may be described as a Mott insulator with antiferromagnetic (AF) long-range order. Superconductivity arises when additional holes are introduced on the oxygen sites in the CuO2 planes upon chemical doping. These holes combine with the 3d2−x2+ spins of Cu ions to form the Zhang-Rice singlets moving through the square lattice of Cu ions by the exchange with their neighboring Cu spins, which leads to an effective two-dimensional t-J model to describe the low-energy physics of the cuprates [13]. The AF order is destroyed rapidly by small hole doping, while at optimal doping, the d-wave SC is established in bulk cuprates [14–16]. It has been a long-standing question if these “cuprate-Mott” conditions can be realized in other oxides. Extensive efforts have been made to investigate the nickel oxides both theoretically and experimentally [17–26].

Single crystal thin films of infinite-layer nickelates were lately synthesized using soft-chemistry topochemical reduction. Superconductivity was reported below 9–15 K in the hole-doped Nd0.8Sr0.2NiO2 [1]. The nickelate superconductors have similar crystal structure as cuprates, and the monovalent Ni1+ ions also possess the same 3d9 configuration as Cu2+ ions. It is therefore thought to be the same as cuprates. However, the parent compound NdNiO2 displays metallic behavior at high temperatures with a resistivity upturn below about 70 K, and shows no sign of any magnetic long-range order in the whole measured temperature range [27]. Similar results have also been found previously in LaNiO2 [28]. These experimental observations are in sharp contrast with the naive expectation of a Mott insulator with AF long-range order for the parent compounds of nickelates. It is therefore important to address what is the nature of the parent compounds and how the AF long-range order is suppressed.

Key experimental evidences. Figure 1 presents the resistivity and Hall data as functions of temperature for both parent compounds NdNiO2 and LaNiO2. Surprisingly, when the data were put on a linear-log scale, we find that the resistivity ρ upturn well obeys a logarithmic temperature (lnT) dependence below about 40 K down to 4 K for NdNiO2 and below about 70 K down to 11 K for LaNiO2. This is clear evidence of magnetic Kondo scattering [29,30].

This Kondo scenario is further supported by the Hall effect data in the both compounds. While the Hall coefficient RH exhibits nonmonotonic temperature dependence, very different from that of the resistivity in the high temperature metallic regime, it shows the same ln T dependence at low temperatures. In the Kondo systems, we have RH ∝ ρ due to the incoherent skew scattering associated with the localized magnetic impurity [31,32]. Thus both the resistivity and Hall coefficient support the presence of the magnetic Kondo scattering in the parent compounds of nickelate superconductors. Moreover, at high temperatures where the skew scattering is negligible and the normal Hall effect dominates, the magnitude of the
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Hall coefficient is found to be only about $-4 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^3$ C$^{-1}$ for NdNiO$_2$ and $-3 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^3$ C$^{-1}$ for LaNiO$_2$. Both are an order of magnitude higher than those of normal heavy fermion metals. For example, we have $R_H \approx -3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^3$ C$^{-1}$ in all three Ce$^3$MIn$_5$ compounds ($M = $ Co, Rh, Ir) at high temperatures [33]. This indicates that there are only a few percent of electronlike carriers per unit cell in both NdNiO$_2$ and LaNiO$_2$. Therefore, the parent compounds of nickelates belong to a Kondo system with low-density charge carriers.

Below we examine the Kondo scenario for NdNiO$_2$ from the microscopic picture. The first-principles band structure calculations [34] show that the Nd 5$d$ orbitals in NdNiO$_2$ are hybridized with the Ni 3$d$ orbitals, leading to small Fermi pockets of dominantly Nd 5$d$ electrons in the Brillouin zone. Nd 5$d$ conduction electrons have a low electron density of $n_e \ll 1$ per Ni site, coupling to the localized Ni$^{3+}$ spin-1/2 of 3$d_{\perp \rightarrow \parallel}$ orbital to form Kondo spin singlets (doublons) [35]. Here we have considered Ni 3$d_{\perp \rightarrow \parallel}$ electrons to be strongly correlated with a large on-site Coulomb repulsion $U$ to disfavor double occupation on the same sites.

With this picture in mind, it is attempted to propose a Kondo Hamiltonian to describe the parent compounds of nickelates. However, unlike the usual Kondo lattice model, the Ni$^{3+}$ localized spins here are coupled mainly by superexchange interaction through the O 2$p$ orbitals, same as in the cuprates, though the coupling on nickel sites is small. Thus the starting point should actually be a background lattice of Ni$^{3+}$ localized spins with the nearest-neighbor AF Heisenberg superexchange coupling and additional local Kondo exchanges with the itinerant 5$d$ electrons.

For the parent compound, we have correspondingly $1 - n_e$ electrons per Ni site, or $n_c N_e$ ($N_e$ as the total number of Ni sites) empty nickel sites (holons) on the NiO$_2$ plane. This introduces a strongly renormalized hopping term of holons. A schematic picture is displayed in Fig. 2. The presence of both the Kondo singlets/doublons and the holons can suppress very efficiently the AF long-range order and cause a phase transition from the Mott insulating state to a metallic state. Actually, as we will show below, an effective low-energy model Hamiltonian can be derived in terms of the doublons, holons, and localized spins, describing a self-doped Mott metallic state even in the parent LnNiO$_2$ ($Ln = $ La, Nd) compounds. Upon further Sr hole doping, such a low-energy effective model is expected to exhibit $d$-wave pairing instability as in the usual $t$-$J$ model.

**Effective model Hamiltonian.** We consider Ni 3$d^9$ and Nd 5$d^8$ as the vacuum, and start with the localized 3$d_{\perp \rightarrow \parallel}$ spins on the NiO$_2$ plane that form a two-dimensional quantum Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor AF superexchange interactions,

$$H_J = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j, \quad (1)$$

This is similar to the cuprates, where the superexchange interaction is induced by the O 2$p$ orbitals and the parent compound is a Mott insulating state with AF long-range orders. In nickelates, however, we have to further consider the Kondo coupling with the Nd or La 5$d$ conduction electrons. This leads to the following Kondo lattice Hamiltonian:

$$H_K = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + K \sum_{j=\sigma} S_j^z c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (2)$$

where $t$ describes the effective hopping amplitude of the 5$d$ itinerant electrons projected on the square lattice sites of the Ni$^{3+}$ ions, and $\tau^{\alpha}$ ($\alpha = x,y,z$) are the spin-1/2 Pauli matrices. We consider a single 5$d$ orbital for Nd for simplicity. For a low-carrier density system, the average number of conduction electrons is very small, i.e., $N_e^{-1} \sum_{j=\alpha} (c_{j\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha}) = n_e \ll 1$.  
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**FIG. 2.** Illustration of the effective model on a two-dimensional square lattice of the NiO$_2$ plane of NdNiO$_2$. Blue arrow represents Ni spin, which interacts with its neighboring spin antiferromagnetically by coupling $J$. Orange arrow denotes a Nd 5$d$ electron, which couples to Ni spin by the Kondo coupling $K$, to form a Kondo singlet (doublon). Red circle represents Ni 3$d^8$ configuration, or a holon. $t^*$ and $t^*$ are the hopping integrals of doublon and holon, respectively. Not shown is the holon-doublon annihilation into two Ni spins.
In the parent compound \( LnNiO_2 \) \((Ln = La, Nd)\), the total electron density is 1 per unit cell, hence the total holon density \( n_h = n_c. \) For Sr-doped compounds, we have \( \delta = n_h - n_c > 0. \) To describe the doping effect, we introduce the pseudofermion representation for the spin-1/2 local moments,

\[
S_j^+ = f_{j1}^f f_{j \uparrow}, \quad S_j^- = f_{j1}^\dagger f_{j \uparrow}, \quad S_j^z = \tfrac{1}{2}(f_{j1}^f f_{j \uparrow} - f_{j1}^\dagger f_{j \uparrow}),
\]

where \( f_{j\sigma} \) is a fermionic operator and denotes a spinon on site \( j. \) The holon hopping term between empty nickel sites is then given by

\[
H_t = -t^* \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (h_i f_{i\sigma}^f f_{j\sigma} h_j^\dagger + H.c.), \tag{3}
\]

where \( h_j^\dagger \) is the bosonic operator creating a holon on the \( j \) site. In this representation, the Ni \( 3d_{x^2-y^2} \) electron operator is given by \( d_{j\sigma} = h_j^\dagger f_{j\sigma} \) with a local constraint, \( h_j^\dagger h_j + \sum_\sigma f_{j\sigma}^f f_{j\sigma} = 1. \) This is just the slave-boson representation for the constrained electrons without double occupancy.

All together, the total model Hamiltonian for nickelates consists of three terms,

\[
H = H_t + H_K + H_r. \tag{4}
\]

This model possesses several key energy scales. While the electron hopping \( t \) may be roughly estimated from band calculations, the holon hopping \( t^* \) is strongly renormalized due to the background AF correlations and thus contribute little to the transport measurements in the parent compounds. The kinetic energy in the Hamiltonian is therefore relatively small due to the small number of charge carriers without Sr doping. The Heisenberg superexchange \( J \) is also expected to be smaller (possibly the order of 10 meV) compared to that of \( 100 \text{ meV} \) in cuprates due to the larger charge transfer energy between O 2\( \rho \) and Ni 3\( d_{x^2-y^2} \) orbitals. Actually the Heisenberg exchange energy is further reduced in a paramagnetic background. For the Kondo temperature of the value of 10 \( K \) or 1 \( \mu \)eV, which is about one-tenth of the temperature of resistivity minimum in both LaNiO\(_3\) and NdNiO\(_3\), a Kondo coupling of roughly the order of 100 meV would be expected for a low-carrier density system with a small electron density of states [36]. Thus for the parent compounds of nickelates, the Kondo coupling is a relatively large energy scale in the above model Hamiltonian.

From these analyses, one may anticipate that the ground state of the nickelate parent compounds may be to some extent captured by the large \( K \) limit of the Hamiltonian. The Kondo singlets are then well established between the Ni 3\( d_{x^2-y^2} \) spins and the 5\( d \) conduction electrons. To explore this possibility, we introduce the doublon operators for the on-site Kondo spin singlet and triplets:

\[
b_{j\uparrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{j1}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger - f_{j1}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow}), \quad b_{j\downarrow} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{j1}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger + f_{j1}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}), \]

\[
b_{j\uparrow} = f_{j1}^f c_{j\uparrow}, \quad b_{j\downarrow} = f_{j1}^f c_{j\downarrow}, \quad b_{j3} = f_{j1}^f c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger.
\]

The Kondo exchange term is then transformed to

\[
\frac{K}{2} \sum_{j, \mu, \sigma} S_j^\mu c_{j\sigma}^\dagger \tau^\mu_{\sigma \sigma'} c_{j\sigma'} = \frac{K}{4} \sum_{\mu=1}^3 b_{j\mu}^\dagger b_{j\mu} - \frac{3K}{4} \sum_j b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger b_{j\downarrow}, \tag{5}
\]

which describes the doublon formation on each site, namely, the Kondo singlet or triplet pair formed by each conduction electron with the localized spinon. However, the Kondo triplet costs a larger energy of \( K \) and is therefore not favored. In addition, there can also be three-electron states with two conduction electrons and the localized spinon on the same site, \( b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} + c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger + e_{j\sigma}^\dagger e_{j\sigma} \), and one-electron states with the unpaired spinon only, \( f_{j\sigma} = (1 - n_j^f) f_{j\sigma}. \) So these operators should be used with the constraint

\[
h_j^\uparrow h_j + \sum_{\mu=0}^3 b_{j\mu}^\dagger b_{j\mu} + \sum_{\sigma} \langle \tilde{S}_j^\sigma \tilde{S}_j^\sigma \rangle = 1, \tag{6}
\]

for each site. These new operators do not commute in a simple way, so for simplicity we should avoid direct operation using their commutation relations.

In the large \( K \) limit, following the method used in Refs. [37,38], a low-energy effective Hamiltonian can be derived by first rewriting the hopping term \( H_t \) in terms of the new operators \( b_{j\mu}, b_{j\mu}^\dagger (\mu = 1, 2, 3), e_{j\sigma}^\dagger, \) and \( f_{j\sigma} \) and then employing the canonical transformation, \( H_{\text{eff}} = e^{-\xi} H e^\xi, \) to eliminate all high-energy terms containing \( b_{j\mu} \) (\( \mu = 1, 2, 3 \)) and \( e_{j\sigma} \) while keeping only the on-site doublon \( (b_{j\uparrow}) \) and unpaired spinons \( (f_{j\sigma}). \) In the infinite-\( K \) limit, in particular, the low-energy effective model becomes a simple form

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = -t^* \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (h_i f_{i\sigma}^f h_j^\dagger + H.c.) + J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tilde{S}_i \cdot \tilde{S}_j
\]

\[
- \frac{t}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (b_{j0}^\dagger \tilde{f}_{i\sigma} f_{j\sigma} b_{j0} + H.c.), \tag{7}
\]

where the spin operators are expressed as \( \tilde{S}_j^\sigma = \sum_{\sigma, \tau} f_{j\sigma}^\dagger \tau^\sigma_{\sigma \tau} f_{j\tau} \) with a local constraint \( h_j^\dagger h_j + b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger b_{j\uparrow} + \sum_{\sigma} \tilde{f}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{f}_{j\sigma} = 1. \) For a large but finite \( K \), apart from some complicated interactions, an additional term should be included:

\[
H_b = -\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{K}{i} \right)^2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger b_{j\uparrow} + \frac{5t^2}{12K} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{j0}^\dagger b_{j0} b_{j\uparrow}^\dagger b_{j\uparrow} b_{j\downarrow}, \tag{8}
\]

which could be used to describe the doublon condensation.

Discussions. The above effective low-energy Hamiltonian is very similar to the usual \( t-J \) model for cuprates [13], except that it includes two different types of charge carriers: the Kondo singlets (doublons) and the holons on the Ni sites. Their presence can efficiently suppress the AF long-range order and bring the phase transition from a Mott insulator to a self-doped Mott metallic state. The effective model therefore describes a self-doped Mott insulating state as the parent state of nickelate superconductors, with possibly an enhanced effective mass for the charge carriers. It also provides an interesting example of holon-doublon excitations for destroying the Mott insulator, although the doublons here are associated
with the Kondo singlets rather than doubly occupied Ni 3d_{x^2−y^2} orbitals. At high temperatures, the doublons become deconfined, causing incoherent Kondo scattering as observed in experiments.

Furthermore, the Sr hole doping reduces the number of electron carriers and thus suppresses the contribution of doublons. At large doping, the effective model is then reduced to the usual t-J model. In cuprates, the Cu 3d_{x^2−y^2} orbitals and the O 2p orbitals are strongly hybridized. The doped holes sit on the oxygen sites, forming the Zhang-Rice singlets with Cu^{2+} localized spins. By contrast, the holes in nickelates reside on the Ni ions, leading to a spin zero state or holon due to the much less overlap with the O 2p band [3]. Sr doping hence introduces extra holes on the Ni sites, which further drives the system away from the AF Mott insulating phase, resembling that in the optimal or overdoped cuprates. However, even at 20% Sr doping, the electron carriers are still present, as manifested by the negative Hall coefficient at high temperatures in Nd_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}NiO_{2} [1]. Since the electron carrier density is reduced with hole doping, the smaller magnitude of \( R_H \) in Nd_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}NiO_{2} cannot be explained by a single carrier model but rather indicates a cancellation of electron and hole contributions. The latter grows gradually with Sr doping and eventually becomes dominant at low temperatures in Nd_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}NiO_{2}, causing the sign change of the Hall coefficient below about 50 K.

Experimentally, with 20% Sr doping in NdNiO_{2}, superconductivity also emerges and has the highest transition temperature of about 15 K. Interestingly, when fitted with a power-law temperature dependence, \( \rho \propto T^\alpha \), we notice for this particular sample that the electric resistivity exhibits a non-Fermi-liquid behavior in the normal state. Actually, an excellent agreement could be obtained with \( \alpha = 1.13 \pm 0.02 \) over a wide range from slightly above the superconducting transition temperature up to the room temperature. In fact, for all reported samples with high superconducting transition temperature, a good power-law fit can always be obtained with \( \alpha \approx 1.1−1.3 \). This is reminiscent of the optimal doped cuprate superconductors and suggests a similar strange metal phase for the normal state of optimal doped nickelate superconductors.

**Conclusion and outlook.** Our proposed model bridges the Kondo lattice model for heavy fermions and the t-J model for cuprates. However, it is different from both models in the sense that it combines some new physics that is not included in either of them. Unlike the usual Kondo lattice system, the exchange interaction here between localized spins is produced by the superexchange coupling rather than the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida coupling. Thus at low carrier density, the magnetic ground state is not ferromagnetic as one would expect for the Kondo lattice. On the other hand, the nickelate system indeed exhibits incoherent Kondo scatterings as revealed in the transport properties at high temperatures. Unlike cuprates, the presence of strong Kondo coupling could lead to holon-doublon excitations even in the parent compound. This self-doping effect suppresses the AF long-range order and produces the paramagnetic metallic ground state. The parent compound of nickelate superconductor is therefore described as a self-doped Mott state. This makes it somewhat different from the cuprates but resembles certain organic superconductors under pressure, which reduces the on-site Coulomb repulsion \( U \) and induces a transition from Mott insulator to gossamer superconductor with both holons and doublons [39].

**Note added.** A recent paper [40] considers the effect of Hund coupling and crystal field splitting in the strongly hole-doped regime.
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[30] Actually, an alternative explanation for the resistivity upturn at low temperatures is weak localization due to the presence of disorder holes in the NiO2 plane. It can also give rise to a logarithmic temperature-dependent correction. However, the corresponding correction to the Hall coefficient is independent of temperature in the same region, which does not support this explanation.
[35] The presence of magnetic impurities may be at first glance ascribed to the Nd 4f moments. However, the Nd3+ ion contains three f electrons forming a localized spin-3/2 moment, which acts more like a classical spin as in manganites and therefore disfavors spin-flip scattering as the quantum spin-1/2 moment. Their energy level is also far away from the Fermi energy, so it is reasonable to ignore the Nd 4f electrons. Note that the La3+ ion does not form a local moment and LaNiO2 shows a similar resistivity upturn at low temperatures.